EQUATION OF AVALKARKUNS TO THE POST OF DEPUTY TAHSILDAR

592 SRI V.L. SIVAPPA (Tiptur).—

Will be Minister for Revenue be pleased to state:—

(*a*) whether the posts of Avalkarkuns of Bombay area in grade Rs. 100-140 have been equated to that of Deputy Tahsildars in grade Rs. 170 250 with effect from 1-11-1966;

(*b*) the total number of Avalkarkuns equated to the posts of Deputy Tahsildars, both graduates and non-graduates;

(*c*) the total number of Avalkarkuns benefited in each taluk of Bombay area (talukwise details may be furnished);

(*d*) the financial commitment involved on account of salary and allowances from 1-11-56 up-to-date in these cases?

SRI H.V. KOUJALGI (Minister for Revenue).—

(*a*) Yes.

The equation is provisional.

(*b*) 274 as on 1-11-56.

(*c*) As a result of the revised equations, 109 Avalkarkuns were promoted as Tahsildars excluding those who had already been promoted or had retired. Talukwise figures are not available as the Avalkarkuns were a districtwise cadre.

(*d*) Nil.

SRI C.H. RAJAIAH SHETTY:—Has it not come to the notice of the Government that by promoting there Avalkarkuns, the officers who were in higher grade were deprived of promotion of the post of Tahsildars? what is the special reason for doing it Sir?

† SRI H.V. KOUJALGI:—According to the States Reorganisation Act, there is a committee called the State Advisory Body and if any category of servants is aggrieved, they can approach it. The Central Government has taken into consideration the recommendation of that body and given it only as a direction. It is still a provisional list subject to objections, if any.

SRI G. CHANDRAKANT:—Is it a fact that the grade of these Avalkarkuns who are working in the Bombay Karnatak is not equal to that of Tahsildars and because of the Minister's interest in them they have been equated?

SRI H.V. KOUJALGI: I do not know what is his interest or my interest. I am sorry that my friend is putting such a question. This recommendation has been accepted by State Advisory Body which is constituted under the State Reorganisation Act and accordingly they have been promoted.

SRI C. K. RAJAIAH SETTY:—These Avalkarkuns happen to com only from Bombay Karnatak area. May Iput it to the Hon.Minister that just because the Committee which was constituted to go into the equation of posts comprised of members coming from the Bombay Karnatak area, the Committee equated the posts of Avalkarkuns with those of Deputy Tahasildars.

SRI H. V. KOUJALGI:—I am very sorry for the question. The Committee consisted of the Chairman of the public service Commission, one representative from the Central Government and one representative from the state Government. posts corresponding to these Avalkarkuns are differently named in different areas of the state. in the *Ex*-Mysore area, they are called Deputy Tahsildars; in Bombay Karnatak area, they are called Avalkarkuns' there is no difference in their status.

SRI G. CHANDRAKANTH:—would the Hon Minister at least come to the conclusion, now that they are illegal promotions in view of the fact that many of the officers who were deprived of promotion, have prefered writ petitions and in many cases stay orders have been granted ? have such instances come to the notice of the Government?

SRI H. V. KOUJALGI:—This is not an illegal Promotion I do not knew if in any writ petition stay has been granted.

MR . DEPUTY SPEAKER:—I put Held Over Question No. 78 of Sri, H. N. Nanje Gowda.—

COLLECTION AND REMISSION OF LAND REVENUE

78. SRI H. N. NANJEGOWDA (Arkalgud). —

will the Minister for Revenue be pleased to state:—

(*a*) the land revenue demand in each district (figures for each year from 1956 up to the end of October, 1969 be furnished);

(*b*) the amount of land revenue collected so far and the arrears thereon in each district (figures from 1956 up to the end of October 1969 be furnished);